

<b>Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary</b>	<b>Application No.</b>	<b>Applicant(s)</b>
	10/735,198	RABINOWITZ ET AL.
	<b>Examiner</b>	<b>Art Unit</b>
	Mina Haghigatian	1616

**All Participants:**

**Status of Application:** \_\_\_\_\_

(1) Mina Haghigatian.

(3) \_\_\_\_\_.

(2) Barry Swanson.

(4) \_\_\_\_\_.

**Date of Interview:** 19 September 2005

**Time:** \_\_\_\_\_

**Type of Interview:**

Telephonic  
 Video Conference  
 Personal (Copy given to:  Applicant  Applicant's representative)

**Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated:**  Yes  No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

**Part I.**

Rejection(s) discussed:

*None*

Claims discussed:

21-22, 29-30

Prior art documents discussed:

**Part II.**

**SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:**

See Continuation Sheet

**Part III.**

It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.  
 It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.



(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: Examiner telephoned Mr. Swanson to discuss a potential new matter issue with the specification and certain claims. It was suggested that the particle size range of claims 21 and 29 should be changed to read "0.1 to 5 microns" and to add the term "about" before "0.2" and "3" in claims 22 and 30. Specification was to be amended to include the same particle size range. Mr. Swanson agreed to all suggestions and it was decided to have the amendments done by an Examiner's Amendment (attached).